

Re: Alleged non-compliance with Condition 5 (Removal of existing concrete pad) and Condition 2 (Approved plans and documents) following grant of permission DC/21/00642

Dear all

I write regarding the above report submitted by concerned residents in relation to Cross Street, Drinkstone.

There were concerns that the development was not per the approved plans. A section 73A application was made, ref DC/22/05706, which amended the plans to reflect the changes on site. This application has now been granted and I believe that the development is now in accordance with the most up to date approved plans.

The application also sought to remove the condition regarding the requirement to remove concrete pad at the site. The application has been granted on the basis that the concrete pad will be replaced in its entirety with a resin surface material. The application, as per the original application, were sent to Heritage for their comments and all the materials have been deemed acceptable.

I know that there was concern around the intended use of the cartlodge once it is complete. There is a condition on the original permission specific to the use of the building for purposes ancillary to the residential use of the dwelling known as no.2 Stotts Cottage. If, once completed, the use of the cartlodge does not satisfy this condition, this should be reported and will be investigated as appropriate for a breach of this condition.

Given the above, I am satisfied that there are no breaches in planning control at the development site and I have closed this case. Thank you for bringing this matter to our attention and investigation.

Regards,

Chloe Anderson

Planning Enforcement Officer

Office: 01449 724561 mobile: 07562 307481

Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils - Working Together

e: chloe.anderson@baberghmidsuffolk.gov.uk

w: www.babergh.gov.uk www.midsuffolk.gov.uk